Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice

A National Conference Call to Discuss the Evaluation of Interagency Environmental Justice Projects

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington DC EPA Headquarters, Ariel Rios Building, Room 7216 Call-in number:(202) 260-1015, Access code, 2770#

FINAL AGENDA

Meeting Goals: Provide participants with a greater understanding of interagency

environmental justice projects and why there is strong interest in evaluating

them

Provide recommendations to the IWG Evaluation Team for improving EPA's strategy for evaluating interagency environmental justice projects

Wednesday, April 4, 2001

3:00-3:25 p.m. WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS

Jerry Filbin, EPA, facilitator

3:25-3:35 p.m. BACKGROUND & GOALS OF CONFERENCE CALL

Charles Lee, EPA

-What are Interagency EJ Projects?-Why evaluate Interagency EJ Projects?-What are the goals of this meeting?

3:35-4:05 p.m. EVALUATION GUIDING PRINCIPLES (see attachment 1)

Presentation of Guiding Principles -Katherine Dawes, EPA

Discussion -Jerry Filbin

-How appropriate are these principles?

-What things seem unclear about the principles as they are presented?

-What additional principles could be useful?

4:05-4:10 p.m. BREAK

4:10-4:20 p.m. RECAP & INTRODUCTIONS OF NEW PARTICIPANTS

Jerry Filbin, EPA, facilitator

4:20-4:50 p.m. EVALUATION FRAMEWORK (see attachment 2)

Presentation of Framework -Katherine Dawes

Discussion -Jerry Filbin

-How could this evaluation framework be improved or made more useful? -Are these the appropriate questions - if not, what additional questions or

changes to these questions might be required?

-What are some strategies for finding common themes in diverse projects?

4:50-5:10 p.m. FINDING THE FIRST PROJECTS FOR EVALUATION (see attachment 3)

Background on project selection -Charles Lee

Discussion -Jerry Filbin

-How should we choose which projects to evaluate?

5:10-5:25 p.m. COMMUNICATION OF RESULTS

Discussion -Jerry Filbin

-How should results be communicated?

-What are the best outreach strategies to ensure that results find those

who

could most benefit from them?

5:25-5:35 p.m. WRAP-UP & NEXT STEPS -Katherine Dawes

-What did we hear today?

-What looks good? What needs more work?

-What would participants like EJ Evaluation Team to provide them with? (e.g., meeting summary, copy of the revised draft final evaluation strategy,

etc.)

5:35-5:40 p.m. CLOSING -Charles Lee